

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/912,444	07/24/2001	Larry R. Dalton	UOFW117403	4443
26389	7590 08/12/2003		$\mathcal{T}$	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
	1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800		METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 9
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don  Attachment(s)		
a)   The translation of the foreign language	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	·	
application from the Internationa  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents.		Application No.
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nerits havê bêsh received	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 33 O.S.C.	3 113(a)-(u) 01 (1).
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 25 LLC C	8 119(a) (d) or (5
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· -	•
If approved, corrected drawings are required		чізаррі очеч ву тіе Ехатіпег.
Applicant may not request that any objection  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
Application Papers		
8) Claim(s) <u>9-64</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-64</u> is/are pending in the applic		
Disposition of Claims		
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
3) Since this application is in condition for a		atters prosecution as to the mosts in
	This action is non-final.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI		MONTH(S) FROM
Period for Reply		
Th MAILING DATE of this communication	Daniel S. Metzmaier	vith the correspondence address
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Office Action Summary	09/912,444	DALTON ET AL.
		of the state of th

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/912,444

Art Unit: 1712

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 9-64 are pending.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-34, drawn to chromophoric electro-optical compounds, classified in class 459, subclass 29+.
  - II. Claims 35-54 and 59-64<sup>1</sup>, drawn to a macromolecular structure, classified in class 252, subclass 582.
  - III. Claims 55-58, drawn to chromophoric electro-optical device employing compounds of claims 9, 29, 30 or 31, classified in class 359, subclass 328.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group I and Groups II or III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as individually as physical mixtures rather than as cross-linked dendrimers or as covalently bonded (eg., chromophore crosslinked polymers), and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is noted claim 64 is dependent on canceled claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,444

Art Unit: 1712

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. The physical mixtures would not require the reactive crosslinking or the covalent bonding with the polymers and form a distinct structure therefrom.

Regarding the devices, the compounds are incorporated into the device *via* an unspecified matrix. Said matrix is either a physical, covalently bonded, or cross-linked chromophore and said devices may comprise a multitude of devices (see page 24, line 25, to page 27, line 19) listed in the journal references and patents (186).

Furthermore, Group II and Group III are independent and distinct since the Group III would not require a macromolecular matrix and/or dendrimer as claimed in Group II.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

# Election of species

4. Claims 9-64 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising furan derivatives (549/429+ or 549/369), substituted thiophene derivatives (549/29+), dithiophene derivatives (549/29+), and trithiophene derivatives (549/29+). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. A single disclosed species as set forth herein would be a single compound or polymer, *i.e.*, dendrimer or covalently bound

Application/Control Number: 09/912,444

Art Unit: 1712

macromolecule. An example may include a compound, such as set forth in one of the examples.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to George E. Renzoni on August 4, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/912,444

**Art Unit: 1712** 

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (703) 308-0451. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Daniel S. Metzmaier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712

DSM August 11, 2003